

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

r/

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/620,768	07/17/2003	Min-ho Choi	1293.1812	9156
21171 7	590 09/22/2004		EXAMINER	
STAAS & HALSEY LLP SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.			LEE, SUSAN SHUK YIN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005		2852	
			DATE MAILED: 09/22/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/620,768	CHOI ET AL.			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAN INC DATE of this communication of	Susan S. Lee	2852			
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	opears on the cover sneet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a recommunication of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state the period for reply will, by state and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	l. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	is action is non-final.				
•	,—-				
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,8-10 and 15-19</u> is/are rejected.  7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-7 and 11-14</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
	Examiner. Note the attached Office	Action of form 1 10-132.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received.  nts have been received in Application in the contraction in the	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/19/04.</li> </ol>	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 8) 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/620,768 Page 2

Art Unit: 2852

#### DETAILED ACTION

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

### Claim Objections

Claims 1-14 and 18 are objected to because of the following informalities:

As to claim 1, line 3, "the photoreceptor drum" lacks antecedent basis.

As to claim 8, lines 3-4, "the photoreceptor medium" lacks antecedent basis.

As to claim 8, line 12, "the toner image" lacks antecedent basis.

As to claim 18, line 6, "the latent electrostatic image" lacks antecedent basis Appropriate correction is required.

### **Double Patenting**

Claim 19 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claim 17. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kobayashi et al. (5,307,127).

Kobayashi discloses color image forming apparatus with a photosensitive drum 1; an exposing unit that exposes image light 11 from a laser beam source 8; a plurality of developing devices 6M, 6C, 6Y, and 6B that are stationary (no rotation nor sliding of the devices), each having a different color developer, a developing sleeve or developing roller 2a, 2b, 2c, 2d; a transfer drum 13 for carrying a transfer material and transferring the toner image onto the transfer material (note column 15, lines 1-18); and a power supply 9 that is applied to each developing roller to selectively apply a first bias T1 allowing toner to be supplied through a development gap to the photosensitive drum and a second bias T2 urging developer from the photosensitive drum to the developing sleeve. The development gap is 100-350 microns (note column 13, lines 50-54). Each developing device contains non-magnetic toner as a one-component developer (note column 4, lines 37-39). Note abstract; column 4, lines 17-36; and column 14, line 46-column 16, line 36.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of

the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-3, 8-10, and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kobayashi et al. (5,307,127) in view of Funatani et al. (Japan, 758).

Kobayashi et al., as discussed above differ from the instant invention by not disclosing a second bias that prevent toner from passing through the development gap.

Funatani et al. discloses prevention of movement of toner from a developing sleeve to a surface of a photoreceptor 101 by applying a bias value during a nondeveloping period. Note abstract.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Kobayashi et al. with that of Funatani et al. so that a staining of toner can be prevented during transfer of the toner images as discussed by Funatani et al..

# Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4-7, and 11-14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2852

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Saito et al. (304), Iwata, Huang, Enomoto et al., Saito (205), Yoshikawa et al., and Tajima et al. disclose art in color developing devices.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan S. Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-2137. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Fri., 10:30-8:00, Second Monday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Art Grimley can be reached on 571-272-2136 or 571-272-2800 (Ext. 52). The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Susan S. Lee Primary Examiner Art Unit 2852